

**Please rank options 1-4, which types of property would you like to see in the parish?**

It is important not to make Fishbourne a middle class enclave. We must not betray future generations by making the area unaffordable for those of limited means. Additional housing is an opportunity for more council tax revenue and a broader range of residents by age and background.

Extensive building development will cause chaos on the already busy roads connecting to Chichester and the A27 which is heavily congested on a daily basis during the week.

Some social housing, some rental housing

Each time we will in one of these surveys no one will accept the results - they just build anyway! Why have a village plan when it means "nothing"!

No "second" homes

Can consideration be given to building flats for social and starter homes?

Density of housing is important - Fishbourne is mainly houses and bungalows, not stacks and stacks of high rise flats. Important to have suitable parking for new housing. Roads should not be even more clogged up, there's nowhere to park at home

If eco-friendly housing means housing without ample parking, residents will have their vehicles elsewhere in the village.

Local housing should be for local people and no second homes. Fishbourne has already taken more than its fair share of new builds and a disproportionate amount of social housing. Flooding has been and continues to be a frightening issue particularly for those previously affected.

Infrastructure and roads MUST be adequate for ANY building that is done.

The development at the southern end of Blackboy Lane (West Hole) is a good one. It would be better if it was smaller properties though, rather than large ones.

CDC's allocation should be challenged and not just accepted. No is a great word! Once we have the single, large scale, development, CDC will be back for more.

A ??? Community needs a good cross-section of homes.

We need to have more social housing for young families not so-called "affordable" housing, because it is not affordable as most are on housing benefit, also if people can downsize there will be enough family homes to buy.

Larger homes and holiday homes relate to types less adaptable to variable use and the needs of the current population. Also such construction entails a "greedier" consumption of "precious" land

If there is to be more housing built along Clay Lane a pavement towards Tesco is vital and a 30mph speed limit along the stretch from top of Blackboy to crossing in Clay Lane

Fishbourne must accept the need for more homes in the parish and other parishes in Chichester D C area.

We have a number of areas of social housing already in the village. Desperate for affordable starter homes for younger buyers or single people.

New build houses built recently seem very crowded together with limited parking and there are too many new 'starter homes'.

In the 18 years we have lived in Fishbourne, a housing development (or two) have appeared within yards of where we were living. We really think that Fishbourne has had its fair share of large, small and infill developments.

Need small (cheap) housing, very affordable, on large plots of land which can be extended later. Social housing should not be sold.

Leave Fishbourne alone, we have taken our share, the infrastructure cannot take more.

To my knowledge the shortage (national) is not property for rental or the larger houses. It is also important that any home is not going to be a second home that achieves nothing.

When you built homes in Fishbourne you did not provide proper pavements in Salthill Road - they are too narrow. Why do you allow huge lorries on these narrow roads? Why do you have so many roundabouts? Flyovers to be built. Why do you not have a shop/post office? Not everyone wants to walk to the Palace. Why are cars allowed to park on the pavements and on the road in Salthill Road?

These ticks reflect my age, though I have lived in Fishbourne 38 years.

Concerns about sewage

I think that Fishbourne has done its share so the less development the better.

Until such time that a proper plan is put in place for infrastructure provision in particular for adequate sewage disposal no building should even be considered.

Perhaps the local population should press the environment agency through the Freedom of Information Act on how many times a year raw sewage is released into the harbour. They may find the results surprising.

Is there a serious housing shortage in Fishbourne? There are already many new developments in the area - are these for really local people? Are there many unoccupied buildings - above shops, retail, old factories, which would make more appropriate use of building stock especially if centrally located - no need for extra transport. See attached article

STUPID QUESTION there will have to be development so get used to it and stop your whining! A mix of property types is needed of course.

Low cost starter homes are needed as there are a number of single people who have grown up in Fishbourne and cannot afford to buy in this area. These are now middle aged and still living at home.

Definitely need low-cost starter homes, as what have the younger generation got for themselves with a LOW deposit?

Enough is enough. What I find ludicrous and totally unacceptable is that it is possible to acquire planning permission for land that does not even belong to the applicant. That needs to be changed pronto. The government profess to caring about greenbelt and the countryside, which is seen on a daily basis to be a blatant lie and all the time councils and districts do not fight back it will continue to be a lie. However, fighting back means not getting as much revenue as trees don't pay council tax.

A mix is probably required. Priority would be homes for young families rather than homes for elderly but both are probably needed.

I think it is important that the housing provided is based on a known need. It is also important to provide a balanced mix of housing types. "low cost" housing does not always equate to "affordable" housing.

Arable land must be retained and not used for housing development. Brexit will impact adversely on the farming community and we shall need to produce more of our own food. The need to expand is understood but it should be discrete. The village infrastructure cannot absorb much more increase.

Good size gardens are needed. Country size plots must be encouraged. We have more than enough social housing properties.

Arable land must be retained and not used for housing development. Brexit will impact adversely on the farming community and we shall need to produce more of our own food.

I do not wish to see blocks of flats or estates where there is insufficient parking, i.e. cluttered streets.  
There are very few infill sites without demolishing existing properties.  
Families need homes relevant to size but schooling is a problem.

Affordable retirement homes or eco shared housing in an eco community would be very welcome. Co-housing is an excellent idea.

There should be a medium size development with starter homes and family homes, a shop and school, restrict new homes to local residents, eco features to reduce pollution such as segregated cycle lanes in new developments, green spaces micro generation, zero carbon homes, allotments!

Need a mixture of housing for new families as well as allowing residents to stay into old age.  
Dependent upon infrastructure, consider risk of flooding.

These are leading questions, I have seen much expansion but the community still exists. Old Fishbourne has been neglected. Poor drainage and traffic issues.

Needs to be affordable for local people. Infrastructure vital especially school places, GP services, shops  
We need to make housing available for all groups in society.

Large scale developments would change Fishbourne into a town and would cause problems for the harbour which already suffers from sewage pollution during certain seasons.

Proposed large-scale projects like Bethwines are a very definite "no no" for me.

A mix of types and not just one type.

Would like no new properties due to lack of infrastructure

It is time we stood up and said NO to any more building of any type. We do not have the infrastructure to support it and we need the farmland/open spaces for crops and trees

Concern about loss of natural habitats for wildlife

Need a mixture of housing.

I notice that our neighbourhood plan must be in keeping with policies set out in the National Planning Policy Framework and in the District Council's local plan. Is there any reciprocal requirement to honour Neighbourhood plans?

The biggest problem for the village is the lack of affordable housing for long term residents

no new houses to be brought to our village

I would rate any further large scale developments as totally unacceptable

No

This is our opportunity to building housing with real architectural value, which has not been achieved in any of the recent developments. Fishbourne could lead the way with affordable, architecturally forward thinking housing, which adds value to our village.

There are too many big housing developments. Flats and apartments would be useful.

no comment

Young families need somewhere to live

We do not need holiday homes and a requisite should be local people only for new properties.

Definitely no more large scale developments please. There's quite large areas of land along both sides of Clay lane going east towards Chichester that could support housing, especially the north side parallel to the A27.

I believe that the first field to the East of Fishbourne Primary School should be retained for expansion of the school and not developed. The other fields around the Roman Palace could be developed for housing that blend in with those built around Roman Way.

We already have enough building in the village. It must be made mandatory that any new building can only be considered after the infrastructure is improved to be able to cope. Both the sewerage system and the surface water drainage and disposal need to be improved/increased.

I'd rather not see any but needs must be assessed and any building must be as green and effecient as possible.

If there has to be any development , essential to make sure adequate infrastructure to support the development, taking into account there is often flooding.

Answer to Q2 on Q4 is 1, button doesn't work. If there is to be any developments it's very important to ensure infrastructure to support it including the important issue for Fishbourne of drainage

The most urgent need is for affordable homes - difficult in this area - so we need rental properties and social housing. All new build MUST be eco-friendly.

Fishbourne is getting overrun with development.

The village S.P.A should be preserved, Fishbourne did not have a Parish Council when we first came into the village I was on the committee to form a neighbourhood council to form a parish council in 1987, we worked well with the district planners to keep the development inside the S.P.A boundary, development outside the S.P.A was refused. I was also on the committee to find and purchase land for the playing field. We are glad we did or it would have now been an estate.

We need council housing.

A mixture of types of housing to give a balance is best in my opinion

All new housing should be built to exceed the current building regulations for energy efficiency. Opportunity should be given to current residents to self build houses rather than developers for all building

Small infill developments would compliment our existing stock BUT we would need drainage/school places/ roads/ Doctors/ Wi-Fi etc to be in place prior to building being started

N/a

Property is unaffordable for young families.

**Comments on the survey itself**

Unable to answer "property for rental" as this is too general

Q5 I have no particularly view about the items I have not ticked

What's the difference between "low cost starter homes" and "smaller homes for residents to downsize to"?





Article attached from The Times about how there isn't a housing shortage because there are lots of empty homes in the North.